gotten carried away. 1 I think the hearing designation order 2 repeats the -- yes -- well, there's 3 reference anyway in paragraph eight of 4 hearing designation order. 5 I that, 6 JUDGE SIPPEL: see informally this is based on, Rice's 7 convictions, he had sexual assault and sodomy. 8 MR. JACOBS: Sodomy, no problem with 9 10 All I'm saying is, that in some of the opinions in this case the word forcible was added 11 to sodomy. And, it's not here, the Commission is 12 not buying into that, and I'm delighted that the 13 14 Commission is not, and understanding it should 15 stay that way. JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, we're bound by the 16 17 hearing designation order to the extent that it's 18 not erroneous, so we can rely on the hearing designation order. 19 20 Do you buy that, Mr. Schonman? MR. SCHONMAN: Yes, sir. 21 22 JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay, that's easy then. 23 So, that takes care of that. But, I'm assuming 24 that -- well, before I launch into something else 25 on that, let me just ask the question this way.

And, I'm going to ask it of you first, 1 Jacobs. 2 Was there -- the way you explain, he 3 was in prison, the statement was being made 4 between his lawyer and Ms. Cox, was that offered 5 at any point in mitigation? I know it's not 6 going to -- it's not going to be a defense to a 7 finding of misrepresentation. But, was it a 8 9 mitigating factor that was considered by either Judge Steinberg or the Court of Appeals. 10 MR. JACOBS: It was in the record. 11 JUDGE SIPPEL: It was in the record. 12 13 We'll go by the record. Mr. Schonman has no problem with that. 14 15 All right. So, sitting here today, I'd like to 16 17 give some peace of mind to the Bureau, I have no 18 intention of revisiting what has already been decided, since what's been decided is accurately 19 described in the hearing designation, but I'm not 20 going to go beyond the hearing designation order. 21 22 And, you will correct me if it creeps 23 Is that fair enough? up. 24 MR. SCHONMAN: Fair enough. JUDGE SIPPEL: Mr. Jacobs? 25

MR. JACOBS: Fine. 1 JUDGE SIPPEL: You can stipulate to 2 3 that when you stipulate things, and we'll leave it at that. Okay. 4 Anything else? 5 MR. SCHONMAN: On this matter 6 7 generally? JUDGE SIPPEL: No, on anything else we 8 9 need to talk about. We are finished with this 10 matter. 11 MR. JACOBS: Oh, electronic filing the 12 pleading. 13 JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes. MR. JACOBS: We're fully in agreement 14 15 on that, and I just would appreciate repetition or clarification of my understanding of what that 16 17 would mean is that the Bureau and I will exchange 18 all documents electronically. But, we will also each other, thereby, taking 19 them mail to 20 advantage of the three days for mailing. It 21 should only be three days. 22 JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes, they are pretty 23 good about that, except that you've got to go 24 through that Section C now. MR. JACOBS: Well, that is kind of my

25

third prong in this. I assume that I also need 1 to, or we also need to either hand deliver or 2 file, officially file, in the docket these same 3 documents, original and one. 4 SCHONMAN: Certainly filing is MR. 5 different than serving. 6 JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes. 7 MR. SCHONMAN: The service copies will 8 be courtesy copies electronically, and formal 9 service by mail. And then, filing can be done 10 electronically, according to the rules. 11 MR. JACOBS: And, everything needs to 12 13 -- that we are exchanging, needs to also be 14 filed into the docket, correct? SIPPEL: Electronically, 15 JUDGE by electronics. Isn't that an electronic docket? 16 MR. SCHONMAN: Well, there was a time 17 18 when we --JUDGE SIPPEL: I know there was. 19 MR. SCHONMAN: -- filed an original and 20 put six copies to the secretary's office for 21 22 That filing process can now be done electronically, as I understand it, similar to a 23 notice of comment in a rulemaking proceeding. 24 25 MR. JACOBS: Well, let me --

- 1	
1	MR. SCHONMAN: That's my understanding.
2	JUDGE SIPPEL: I don't agree with
3	that.
4	MR. JACOBS: My notice of appearance
5	JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes.
6	MR. JACOBS: I sent it
7	electronically to the judge and the Bureau. I
8	filed it in the electronic system, but there was
9	something which seemed to tell me that I also
10	needed to physically file it in paper with the
11	secretary's office, which I did. And, maybe I
12	didn't need to, but I did.
13	And, that last issue is what I'm
14	talking about. Are we going to have to file
15	everything physically by mail or hand with the
16	secretary's office, in addition to what we are
17	doing electronically?
18	JUDGE SIPPEL: I don't think that's
19	necessary anymore. Now, I'm relying on experts
20	that I have. I'm going to have to find out. I'm
21	not going to take responsibility for that.
22	MR. SCHONMAN: I'm not the expert on
23	anything, but
24	JUDGE SIPPEL: Become one.
25	MR. SCHONMAN: but I do think that

the rules provide for electronic filing. 1 JUDGE SIPPEL: Filing, that's correct. 2 3 MR. SCHONMAN: Which dispenses with the need to physically hand deliver the original plus 4 5 six copies to the secretary's office. And, I'm looking now at Mary Gosse, who I think is the 6 7 familiar one with the filing process. I think it's redundant to do physical, 8 9 hand delivery filing, as well as electronic 10 filing. JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, let's leave it at 11 12 that, unless something happens to the contrary, 13 unless someone finds out something very factual, 14 let's just leave it at that, okay? 15 far as I'm concerned, as 16 courtesy copy to me, and to Austin and Mary, can 17 be all email. Now, if you are going to mail a 18 copy of a hard copy, please, to one another, just 19 to make sure it's being done, I don't know, I 20 don't have any reasoning, necessarily, for that, 21 but if you are going to drop a copy in the mail, 22 drop a copy in the mail to us also. But, I'm not 23 going to sit around and wait for it before I do anything, because sometimes it goes out there to 24 25 Maryland.

MR. JACOBS: In my defense of 1 2 quandary, I refer to Paragraph 23 of the hearing 3 designation order, which says that to participate in this hearing, one shall file within 20 days of 4 the mailing of the hearing designation order 5 written appearances in triplicate, stating their 6 7 respective intentions to appear. 8 Well, I figured that the electronic 9 filing was not going to be in triplicate, so that 10 meant I had to physically file it, and that's And, maybe that would be the 11 did. what I beginning and the end of that. 12 MS. GOSSE: There's a certificate of 13 filing that -- confirmation of filing, that you 14 15 need to print, and then when you said the paper copy to the parties, attach that. That indicates 16 17 that it's been filed. 18 MR. JACOBS: Very good. Thank you. MS. GOSSE: And, that's how we know it 19 20 will get in. 21 MR. JACOBS: Excellent. 22 SCHONMAN: I will say that the 23 notice of appearance requirement in Paragraph 23, references Section 1.221, which talks about 24 25 filing in writing multiple copies. There may be

1	a disconnect between some of the rule sections.
2	I think Paragraph 23 accurately quoted
3	1.221, but for the purposes of filing a document
4	with the Commission, as Ms. Gosse has described,
5	can be done electronically with that proof of
6	filing.
7	MR. JACOBS: Excellent.
8	MS. GOSSE: Confirmation of filing.
9	MR. JACOBS: That's fine.
10	MS. GOSSE: Because if it's not
11	accepted, it will tell you that.
12	MR. JACOBS: That sounds like 2014.
13	JUDGE SIPPEL: Oh, golly, this OALJ
14	learned that definitely we are in Century 21. I
15	can't speak for the rest of the Government. Let
16	me broadly say it that way.
17	Okay. We are all set then? This is
18	it. Okay.
19	MR. JACOBS: You'll issue an order, I
20	guess.
21	JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes, I'm going to issue
22	an order.
23	MR. JACOBS: I have not
24	JUDGE SIPPEL: I'm going to ask you to
25	get these dates you know, to come up with some

1 proposed dates, but that doesn't have to be done 2 here. 3 MR. JACOBS: I have not yet published the notice of hearing that the rules 4 5 also require, because I figured without the date of the hearing that was a silly thing to do. 6 7 JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, do you want to set it now? 8 9 MR. JACOBS: No. No. mean, 10 content to wait, but I just wanted to explain why 11 that hadn't been done. 12 JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. Well, I'm going 13 to have to double check on The Game Show trial 14 dates, because I don't want to start playing with 15 that date. I will make arrangements for that 16 date when I have to, but so far it's a clean 17 date, and I'm trying to plan my big, you know, 18 other things that I have to do around that. 19 So, it's very -- probably by the end 20 of this end you'll know what my calendar looks 21 like, and then you can make your decision. 22 But, I'm hoping it's going to be --April sticks in my mind. I don't want to get 23 into the sequence of Easter and Passover, that 24 type of thing, and if that's the case, I hope 25

1 that we can do this in March some time, but we'll Let me check, we'll get back to you. 2 find out. 3 Okay. And then the rest of it is, you know, 4 the issue is going to be, you know, the normal 5 dates, the procedural dates preparing for trial. 6 7 Once you get the hearing date, then you get back everything up from that, and come up with 8 exchange dates and all that kind of stuff. 9 10 MR. SCHONMAN: Your Honor, in terms of 11 coming up with dates, do you anticipate that 12 direct testimony would be reduced to writing? 13 JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes. MR. SCHONMAN: Then that would require 14 15 an admission session. 16 JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, it might. It can 17 all be done at one time, if there's not much We don't have to drag people in another 18 there. 19 We can do it just before the hearing. You know, I can start earlier, I can start at 9:00. 20 21 I'm not going to do what Judge Miller did to 2.2 solve it, I'm not going to start at 8:00. 23 MR. SCHONMAN: Thank you for that. JUDGE SIPPEL: Are we all set then? 24 25 MR. SCHONMAN: Yes.

1	JUDGE SIPPEL: Mr. Jacobs?
2	MR. JACOBS: Thank you.
3	JUDGE SIPPEL: So good to see you, sir.
4	MR. JACOBS: Same here.
5	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. We are in recess.
6	(Whereupon, the above entitled matter
7	went in recess at 11:13 a.m.)
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER, TRANSCRIBER, AND PROOFREADER

Patrick Sullivan & 1	Lake Broadcasting
Name of Hearing	
MB DOCKET NO. 14-82	
Docket No. (if appl	icable)
445 12 th STREET, S.W	., WASHINGTON, D.C.
Place of Hearing	
June 24, 2014	
Date of Hearing	
numbers 1 through 60 complete transcript Sam Wojack identified hearing, the current Federal verbatim reporting a verified the accurace comparing the typewore recording accomplish final proofed typewore.	do hereby certify that the foregoing pages, inclusive, are the true, accurate and prepared from the reporting by (Reporter's Name) in attendance at the above in accordance with applicable provisions of Communications Commission's professional and transcription statement of Work and have by of the accuracy of the transcript by (1) written transcript against the reporting or hed at the hearings and (2) comparing the ritten transcript against the reporting or hed at the hearing or conference. Sam Wojack Legible Name and Signature of Reporter
Date	Name of Company:Neal Gross Co
June 24, 2014	Gail Johnson Pay Olln Con
Date	Legible Name and Signature of Transcriber Name of Company: Neal Gross Co.
June 24, 2014	Tracy Cain (acer Cain
Date	Legible Name and Signature of Proofreader Name of Company:Neal Gross Co